This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,148		04/24/2001	Sung-Tae Kim	1293.1186	3413
21171	7590	08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700		Y LLP	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, BRIAN D	
1201 NEW	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	C 20005	2661		
				DATE MAIL ED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
()	Application No.						
Office Action Summary	09/840,148 Examiner	KIM, SUNG-TAE					
•		Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Brian D Nguyen	2661					
Period for Reply	opeans on the bover direct with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on the	application filed 4/24/01.						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,10-16 and 18-26</u> is/are rejecte							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7,9 and 17</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>4-7,9 and 17</u> is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).	-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		(4) 5. (.).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		1.0					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	• • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e					
5) M information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/15/04 & 11/12/03</u> .	6) Other:	rent Application (PTO-152)					

.Application/Control Number: 09/840,148

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 14-17 and 21-22 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claims 14-17 and 21 are method claims; they should depend on claim 13 instead of claim
- 12. The examiner assumes claims 14-17 and 21 depend on claim 13 for this Office Action.

Claim 22, line 3, it is suggested to replace "DSS" with ---distributed sample scramble (DSS)----

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-7, 9, and 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the divided data" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 20 should depend on claim 16 for proper dependency.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the grouping" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 20 should depend on claim 16 for proper dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/840,148

Art Unit: 2661

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3, 10, 12-16, 18-20, and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Movshovich et al (6,434,170).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Movshovich discloses a TS demultiplexer for demultiplexing a plurality of TS of data having different structures comprising a TS input switch (implicitly disclosed for switching between I/O devices 220 of figure 2), a PID filter unit (see figure 3), a decrambler unit (see figure 10), an external output interface unit (see figure 1), and a CPU (see processor in figure 2).

Regarding claim 2, Movshovich discloses MPEG-2 TS, DSS TS (see figures 7A&B).

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Movshovich discloses synchronization (see col. 5, lines 15-18).

Regarding claim 13, claim 13 is a method claim that has substantially all the limitations of the respective apparatus claim 1. Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

Regarding claim 14, claim 14 is a method claim that has substantially all the limitations of the respective apparatus claim 2. Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

Regarding claim 15, claim 15 is a method claim that has substantially all the limitations of the respective apparatus claim 3. Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

Regarding claims 16 and 20, Movshovich discloses an A/V interface (118) for the audio/video data and external interface (108, 116) for supplemental data information (see figure 1; col. 5, lines 10-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/840,148

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Movshovich discloses IEEE 1394 bus (see 232 of figure 2).

Regarding claims 22-26, Movshovich discloses a method of demultiplexing plural transport streams of data having different structures comprising DSS and MPEG-2 transport streams. The DSS and MPEG-2 transport streams are simultaneously processing and these transport streams are received over an IEEE 1394 bus and a PCI bus (see figures 1, 2, & 7; col. 8, line 32-col. 9, line 24; col. 11, lines 22-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8, 11, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Movshovich et al (6,434,170).

Regarding claims 8 and 11, Movshovich does not specifically discloses scrambles the decrambled program data for external transmission. However, to scramble program data for transmission is well known in the art and is a matter of choice to protect the data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to scramble the data before transmission in order to protect the data during transmission.

Regarding claim 21, claim 21 is a method claim that has substantially all the limitations of the respective apparatus claim 8. Therefore, it is subject to the same rejection.

.Application/Control Number: 09/840,148

Art Unit: 2661

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-7, 9, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Anderson et al (6,731,657), Movshovich et al (6,359,91), Movshovich et al (6,438,145).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5133. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2661

8/6/04

BRIAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER